Erwin Elementary

1477 Locustwood Avenue Lancaster, SC 29720

Grades K-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 455 Students

Principal Jane B. Gaston 803-285-8484

Superintendent Richard E. Moore 803–286–6972

Board Chair Lisa T. Bridges 803–286–6972

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 6 52 49 6

IMPROVEMENT RATING

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

The school's Improvement rating was raised one level because of substantial improvement in the achievement of students belonging to historically underachieving groups of students.

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No					
2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes					
2005	Average	Good	No					
2006	Average	Good	Yes					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS


- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT PACT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2005-06 whose 2004-05 test scores were located.

97.6%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)



PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	g	% Below Basic	3 / 6	į	į / š	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mos
	men.	% Tested	W.B.	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	i cjeji		Participation Objective Mos
	100 70	/ %	Be	/ %	%	/ %	Pot		ject all
	/ ^w &	/	/ %	/	/ 🐃	/ ~~	8€	120	/~~/
Englis	<i>i</i> h/Langua	ge Arts -	State Per	formance	Objective	e = 38.2%		/	
All Students	214	100.0	21.3	50.0	27.7	1.0	42.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	107	100.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	31.0	N/A	N/A
Female	107	100.0	12.7	50.0	35.3	2.0	52.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	105	100.0	15.2	49.5	33.3	2.0	50.5	Yes	Yes
African American	105	100.0	28.0	51.0	21.0	0.0	33.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	181	100.0	16.9	52.9	29.1	1.2	44.8	N/A	N/A
Disabled	33	100.0	46.7	33.3	20.0	0.0	26.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	214	100.0	21.3	50.0	27.7	1.0	42.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	100.0	21.4	50.2	27.4	1.0	41.8	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	24.1	51.9	24.1	0.0	36.1	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	72	100.0	15.9	46.4	34.8	2.9	53.6	N/A	N/A

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 36.7%									
All Students	214	100.0	27.7	51.0	17.8	3.5	29.7	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	107	100.0	30.0	51.0	14.0	5.0	26.0	N/A	N/A
Female	107	100.0	25.5	51.0	21.6	2.0	33.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	105	100.0	25.3	49.5	24.2	1.0	36.4	Yes	Yes
African American	105	100.0	30.0	54.0	11.0	5.0	22.0	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	181	100.0	25.0	51.7	20.3	2.9	33.1	N/A	N/A
Disabled	33	100.0	43.3	46.7	3.3	6.7	10.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	214	100.0	27.7	51.0	17.8	3.5	29.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	100.0	27.9	51.2	17.4	3.5	29.4	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	30.8	51.9	13.5	3.8	25.6	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	72	100.0	21.7	49.3	26.1	2.9	37.7	N/A	N/A

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	/	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	
All Students	214	100.0	ience 47.5	39.1	7.4	5.9	13.4	
Gender	214	100.0	47.5	39.1	7.4	5.9	13.4	
Male	107	100.0	50.0	36.0	8.0	6.0	14.0	
Female	107	100.0	45.1	42.2	6.9	5.9	12.7	
Racial/Ethnic Group	107	100.0	40.1	42.2	0.9	5.9	12.7	
White	105	100.0	35.4	49.5	9.1	6.1	15.2	
African American	105	100.0	60.0	30.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status		100.0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	
Not Disabled	181	100.0	45.3	40.7	8.1	5.8	14.0	
Disabled	33	100.0	60.0	30.0	3.3	6.7	10.0	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	214	100.0	47.5	39.1	7.4	5.9	13.4	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	100.0	47.8	39.3	7.0	6.0	12.9	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	52.6	35.3	6.0	6.0	12.0	
Full-pay meals	72	100.0	37.7	46.4	10.1	5.8	15.9	

Social Studies								
All Students	214	100.0	35.6	45.5	11.9	6.9	18.8	
Gender								
Male	107	100.0	40.0	43.0	11.0	6.0	17.0	
Female	107	100.0	31.4	48.0	12.7	7.8	20.6	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	105	100.0	29.3	44.4	18.2	8.1	26.3	
African American	105	100.0	42.0	47.0	6.0	5.0	11.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	181	100.0	36.0	47.1	11.6	5.2	16.9	
Disabled	33	100.0	33.3	36.7	13.3	16.7	30.0	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	214	100.0	35.6	45.5	11.9	6.9	18.8	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	100.0	35.3	45.8	11.9	7.0	18.9	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	142	100.0	40.6	42.1	11.3	6.0	17.3	
Full-pay meals	72	100.0	26.1	52.2	13.0	8.7	21.7	

PACT	PERFORMA	ANCE BY GRA	DE I EVEL					
	1				1	7		
	/ &	Enrollment ter Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
	Grade			Mog	/ Ba	J offi	ldva,	Inciel
			/ %	/ % B	/ *	%	/ %	% Proficient ar Advanced
			1	1	nguage Arts	1		<i></i>
	3	74	100.0	17.2	46.9	28.1	7.8	35.9
LC)	4	67	100.0	30.6	50.0	19.4	0.0	19.4
L 8.	5 6	84 N/A	100.0 N/A	36.7 N/A	49.4 N/A	12.7 N/A	1.3 N/A	13.9 N/A
7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	83	100.0	14.5	53.9	31.6	0.0	31.6
9	4	70	100.0	22.7	48.5	25.8	3.0	28.8
L 8.	5 6	61 N/A	100.0 N/A	28.3 N/A	46.7 N/A	25.0 N/A	0.0 N/A	25.0 N/A
7	7	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					matics			
-	3	74	100.0	26.6	67.2	1.6 19.4	4.7	6.3
<u>8</u>	5	67 84	100.0 100.0	29.0 31.6	48.4 40.5	21.5	3.2 6.3	22.6 27.8
é	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
1.7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	83	100.0	34.2	47.4	18.4	0.0	18.4
9	4 5	70 61	100.0 100.0	25.8 21.7	54.5 51.7	18.2 16.7	1.5 10.0	19.7 26.7
-8	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
~~	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2	7.4	400.0		ence	0.4	4.0	4.7
-	3	74 67	100.0 98.5	51.6 49.2	43.8 44.3	3.1 4.9	1.6 1.6	4.7 6.6
- KS	5	84	100.0	39.2	31.6	15.2	13.9	29.1
12	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	3 4	83 70	100.0 100.0	63.2 37.9	31.6 50.0	3.9 6.1	1.3 6.1	5.3 12.1
9	5	61	100.0	38.3	36.7	13.3	11.7	25.0
	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	74	100.0	25.0	Studies 50.0	20.3	4.7	25.0
LC)	4	67	98.5	29.5	62.3	8.2	0.0	8.2
	5	84	100.0	48.1	45.6	5.1	1.3	6.3
2	6 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
	3	83	100.0	34.2	47.4	14.5	3.9	18.4
	4	70	100.0	27.3	43.9	19.7	9.1	28.8
0	5	61	100.0	46.7	45.0	0.0	8.3	8.3
70	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7 8	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
	-		1 (1)	1				

SCHOOL PROFILE							
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School			
Students (n= 455)							
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No change	100.0%	100.0%			
Retention rate	4.5%	Up from 4.3%	3.6%	2.8%			
Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	95.8% 0.0%	Up from 95.4% Down from 4.4%	96.3% 0.0%	96.4% 0.0%			
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%	Down from 0.9%	0.0%	0.0%			
Eligible for gifted and talented	3.6%	Down from 5.3%	6.9%	10.4%			
On academic plans	0.7%	N/AV	43.8%	33.6%			
On academic probation	0.0%	N/AV	0.3%	1.0%			
With disabilities other than speech	4.6%	Down from 5.5%	8.5%	7.5%			
Older than usual for grade	0.0%	Down from 0.4%	1.2%	0.8%			
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%			
Teachers (n= 30)							
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	63.3% N/AV	No change	51.6% N/AV	53.8% N/AV			
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	N/A	2.6%	2.4%			
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%			
Teachers returning from previous year	90.3%	Down from 91.5%	87.1%	87.3%			
Teacher attendance rate	94.6%	Down from 95.6%	95.0%	94.9%			
Average teacher salary	\$42,092	Up 0.7%	\$42,047	\$42,485			
Prof. development days/teacher	17.2 days	Up from 14.3 days	13.2 days	13.3 days			
School							
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	9.0 18.2 to 1	Up from 8.0 Down from 19.0 to 1	3.0 17.7 to 1	4.0 18.6 to 1			
Prime instructional time	89.0%	Down from 89.8%	89.7%	89.7%			
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,742	Up 2.3%	\$6,816	\$6,557			
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	71.7%	Up from 65.0%	63.2%	64.0%			
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	75.2%		69.0%	69.1%			
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good			
Parents attending conferences	98.4%	Down from 99.5%	99.0%	99.0%			
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes			
Character development	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent			
* Drier year audited financial data are reported							

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

		Our District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teacher	ers	17.9%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teach	10.9%		10.2%	
	Sta	e Objective	Me	t State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%		Yes
Student attendance in this school		94.0%*		Yes

^{*}or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

"Live to love, love to learn, and live to love learning" sets the stage for our attitude and atmosphere at Erwin Elementary and serves as our mission statement. We strive to create a positive environment that promotes both challenging academic standards and outstanding school citizenship. As visitors walk through the halls of the school, they are able to observe students actively participating in inquiry-based science kits, problem solving activities, and creative art projects. Students are also busy creating projects using the available technology and software programs.

Numerous programs are available to students to provide creative outlets and to promote positive character development. Students may be involved in activities such as Relay for Life events, Drama Club, Art Therapy, Cheer and Dance Groups, Book Writing Club, Kindergarten Chorus, Elementary Chorus, Study Buddies, A.C.E. Club, Student Council, Junior Achievement, SAIL Club, Choral Festival, Reading Counts, E-mail Pals, and the Ambassadors to Erwin.

Continuous improvement in statewide testing has occurred each year that PACT has been administered. Our focus continues to be to provide opportunities for academic improvement by engaging students in learning before, during, and after school. Some activities offered before or after school include the following: math lab, writing programs, fitness programs, and computer classes. By offering additional programs, we are able to expand instruction of curriculum standards and offer assistance to students who need additional help while offering more challenging activities to others.

Our faculty and staff continue to become stronger as they take advantage of the many professional development opportunities. All teachers completed the INTEL Teach to the Future Technology course and created integrated teaching units for classroom use. We strive to provide quality instruction while maintaining an atmosphere that encourages individual differences and allows students to just be children.

Supportive parents, spirited students, and enthusiastic teachers make our atmosphere one of playfulness and friendliness. Our welcome mat sums up our beliefs and supports our mission statement as it reads, "Little People, Big Dreams, and Bright Futures at Erwin Elementary."

Jane B. Gaston, Principal Virginia Faulkner, Chairperson of School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	36	56	44				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	92.6%	95.5%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	87.3%	93.2%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	97.2%	90.9%	97.7%				

^{*}Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.